	Case 5:07-cv-06364-RMW Docu	ument 3	Filed 04/03/2008	Page 1 of 4
1	Mark E. Ellis # 127159 Daniel D. McGee # 218947 Ellis, Coleman, Poirier, LaVoie, & Steinheimer LLP 555 University Avenue, Suite 200 East Sacramento, CA 95825 Tel. (916) 283-8820 Fax (916) 283-8821			
2				
3				
4				
5	George P. Eshoo - 39081 Law Offices of George P. Eshoo & Associates 702 Marshall Street, Suite 500 Redwood City, CA 94063 Tel: (650) 364-7030 Fax: (650) 364-3054 Attorneys for Appellee/Plaintiff JOHN CHALLAS			
6				
7				
8				
9				
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11				
12				
13				
14	In re: Stephen J.R. Goetz and Diana Goetz, Debtors.	Chapter		
15		Case No. C-07-06364 RMW [Bankruptcy Case No. 05-57623 MM Adversary Proceeding No. 06-5197		
16				
17 18	John Challas,	BAP No. NC-07-1418] APPELLEE/PLAINTIFF JOHN CHALLAS' STATUS		
19	Plaintiff,		CONFERENCE STATEMENT	
20	v. Steven Goetz, and DOES 1 through 20, Inclusive,		Date: April 18, 2008 Time: 10:30 a.m. Judge: Hon. Ronald M. Whyte	
21				
22	Defendants.			
23				
24	On October 19, 2007, and following a day-long evidentiary hearing which occurred on July 13,			
25	2007, the Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of California, the Honorable Marilyn Morgan			
26	presiding, signed an Order ruling that Appellee/Plaintiff John Challas' ("Mr. Challas") cause of action			
27	for slander against Appellant/Defendant Stephen Goetz ("Goetz") was non-dischargeable. Said Order			
28	- 1 -			

APPELLEE/PLAINTIFF JOHN CHALLAS' STATUS CONFERENCE STATEMENT

was entered on the Bankruptcy Court's docket on October 22, 2008.

On November 1, 2007, Goetz, who is proceeding in pro per, appealed the October 19, 2007 Order.

On November 1, 2007, Goetz, pursuant to **FRBP 8006**, also designated the entire docket for the underlying adversary proceeding to be included in the record on appeal, including the transcripts for hearings dated June 12 and July 13, 2007. In so doing, Goetz, pursuant to **FRBP 8006**, was required to immediately deliver to the reporter and file with the clerk a written request for the transcripts and make satisfactory arrangements for payment of its costs. Goetz failed to perform these acts and has not taken any steps to cure the same.

On November 6, 2007, this Court referred Goetz's appeal to the Bankruptcy Appellate Panel ("BAP"). On November 13, 2007, the BAP transmitted to the parties its opening letter which indicated that Goetz had failed to transmit a copy of the order from which Goetz is appealing.

On November 26, 2007, Mr. Challas filed an objection to Goetz's appeal being heard and determined by the BAP. The appeal was thereafter transferred to this Court and assigned to the Honorable Ronald M. Whyte.

On December 17, 2007, this Court set this appeal for an April 18, 2008 status conference pending the parties' perfection of the record on appeal. Upon the filing of the record on appeal, this Court was to vacate the April 18, 2008 status conference at which time a briefing schedule would issue.

On January 10, 2008, Mr. Challas filed a case management conference ("CMC") statement in the Bankruptcy Court in connection with a CMC which was set to occur on January 15, 2008. Mr. Challas' CMC statement recited the aforementioned facts, including that Goetz had failed to comply with **FRBP 8006** or to otherwise perfect his appeal rendering his appeal subject to dismissal. Mr. Challas also indicated that this Court had set the appeal for an April 18, 2008 status conference.

Goetz failed to file any CMC statement in connection with the January 15, 2008 CMC.

Ultimately, Judge Morgan continued the Bankruptcy Court's CMC until December 9, 2008 pending the outcome of Goetz's appeal before this Court.

It is presently unknown whether Goetz intends to prosecute this appeal or to perfect the record. In that Goetz has failed to perfect his appeal, which has now been pending for five months, it would appear that the dismissal of Goetz's appeal is now eminently appropriate. See, e.g., Greco v. Stubenberg (BAP 9th Cir. 1988) 859 F.2d 1401, 1404 [an appellant's failure to take steps required to prosecute appeal, including failing to make a timely request for a transcript, is grounds for dismissal]; In re McCarthy (BAP 9th Cir. 1999) 230 B.R. 414, 417 [FRBP 8006 requires, as mandatory, that an appellant designate a record that includes both any opinion, findings of fact and conclusions of law of the court, and any transcript that will be needed; failure to do so is grounds for dismissal]; In re Winslow (D. Colo. 1990) 121 B.R. 598, 599 [appellant's failure to designate crucial portions of transcript constitutes grounds for dismissal].

Dismissal is especially appropriate, and requested, since Mr. Challas informed Goetz of the aforementioned deficiencies months ago by way of his January 10, 2008 CMC statement filed in the Bankruptcy Court. Notwithstanding, Goetz has taken no action to perfect the record on his appeal or to procure the crucial transcripts required by this Court.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Dated: April 3, 2008

ELLIS, COLEMAN, POIRIER, LAVOIE, & STEINHEIMER LLP

Daniel D. McGee

Co-Counsel for JOHN CHALLAS

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

APPELLEE/PLAINTIFF JOHN CHALLAS' STATUS CONFERENCE STATEMENT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28